## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

May 21, 1999 LB 881

So if I would understand it right, if we would have a very, very serious shortfall and this was in Cash Reserve and in minimum reserve, we could end up having even a special session to make that transfer. Now, that's highly, highly unlikely. I'm not really saying the world...that the sky is going to be falling. But I believe we just as well leave it where it's at. This is an unnecessary step to take at this time. We have no intention of spending it. Let's leave it in the minimum reserve and show that we've got nearly...I didn't look at that number...but at least it's \$22 million above minimum reserve. Let's just leave it there, make that transfer when it's appropriate in the future. But for now, I think we just as well leave it alone.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Beutler, please.

SENATOR BEUTLER: members of the Legislature, just additional factors for you to consider. Senator Wickersham apparently opposes the amendment, but I would argue that his arguments argue for the amendment. He talked about the drought that could come in future years. That is a drought that's only addressed by a larger Reserve Fund. The more serious the drought, the larger the Reserve Fund needs to be to survive it without raising sales and income taxes or ... and/or without cutting, in a bitter sort of way, the institutions that He pointed out to you that if the estimates are in excess of the money that is anticipated, that that difference goes into the Reserve Fund. But you also need to understand the reverse of the money that actually comes in is less than the estimates and the economy is on the decline, money doesn't come out of the Reserve Fund until we take it out. But if the economy is in decline, we're going to start taking it out. it seems to me that any way you look at it, it's better to put money into the Reserve Fund. Now, short-term, what does it do? To be honest with you, it'll put pressure on you next year for A bills. But I would argue that if there was any year that A bills should have more pressure...that is, not have a bunch of money sitting there to be spent; because it'll be sitting there to be spent if we don't transfer it to the Reserve Fund...next year is a good year to be tight on A bills, because we're saving our nuts for the year after so we can make the major payment into the State Aid Formula so that the \$1.10 levy comes down